

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Yan Jun Song, Yu Peng Lu, individually and on behalf all
other employees similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

Marvel Food Services, LLC. d/b/a KENTA, David Peskin,
Rafael J. Levy, Robert Beer , Jimmy Doe, Jason Li, John
Doe and Jane Doe # 1-10

Defendants.

Case No.

**COLLECTIVE ACTION
COMPLAINT AND JURY
TRIAL DEMAND**

Plaintiffs Yan Jun Song and Yu Peng Lu (“Plaintiffs” collectively) on their own behalf and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by and through their undersigned attorneys, Hang & Associates, PLLC, hereby file this complaint against the Defendants Marvel Food Services, LLC. d/b/a KENTA, David Peskin, Rafael J. Levy, Robert Beer , Jimmy Doe, Jason Li, John Doe and Jane Doe # 1-10 (collectively “Defendants”), allege and show the Court the following:

INTRODUCTION

1. This is an action brought by Plaintiffs on their own behalf and on behalf of similarly situated employees, alleging violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq. (“FLSA”) and the New York Labor Law, arising from Defendants’ various willful and unlawful employment policies, patterns and/or practices.

2. Upon information and belief, Defendants have willfully and intentionally committed widespread violations of the FLSA and NYLL by engaging in a pattern and practice of failing to pay their employees, including Plaintiff, overtime compensation for all hours worked over forty (40) each workweek and the spread of hours premium.

3. Plaintiffs allege pursuant to the FLSA, that they are entitled to recover from the Defendants: (1) unpaid overtime wages, (2) liquidated damages, (3) prejudgment and post-judgment interest; and (4) attorneys' fees and costs.

4. Plaintiff further alleges pursuant to New York Labor Law § 650 et seq. and 12 New York Codes, Rules and Regulations §§ 146 ("NYCRR") that he is entitled to recover from the Defendants: (1) unpaid overtime compensation, (2) unpaid "spread of hours" premium for each day they worked ten (10) or more hours, (3) compensation for failure to provide wage notice at the time of hiring and failure to provide paystubs in violation of the NYLL (4) liquidated damages equal to the sum of unpaid "spread of hours" premium and unpaid overtime pursuant to the NY Wage Theft Prevention Act; (5) prejudgment and post-judgment interest; and (6) attorney's fees and costs.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This Court has original federal question jurisdiction over this controversy under 29 U.S.C. §216(b), 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and has supplemental jurisdiction over the New York Labor Law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

6. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c), because Defendants conduct business in this District, and the acts and omissions giving rise to the claims herein alleged took place in this District.

PLAINTIFFS

7. Plaintiff Yan Jun Song is a resident of Queens and was employed as a cook by Marvel Food Services, LLC. d/b/a KENTA located at 1197 Walt Whitman Rd Melville, NY 11747 from April 23, 2015 to Present.

8. Plaintiff Yu Peng Lu is a resident of Queens and was employed as a cook by Marvel Food Services, LLC. d/b/a KENTA located at 1197 Walt Whitman Rd Melville, NY 11747 from August 04, 2014 to Present.

DEFENDANTS

9. Upon information and belief, Defendant, Marvel Food Services, LLC. d/b/a KENTA owns and operates a restaurant in Long Island located at 1197 Walt Whitman Rd Melville, NY 11747.

10. Upon information and belief, Defendant, Marvel Food Services, LLC. d/b/a KENTA had gross sales in excess of Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$500,000) per year. Upon information and belief, Marvel Food Services, LLC. d/b/a KENTA purchased and handled goods moved in interstate commerce.

11. Upon information and belief, Defendant David Peskin, is the owner, officer, director and/or managing agent of Marvel Food Services, LLC. d/b/a KENTA at 1197 Walt Whitman Rd Melville, NY 11747 and participated in the day-to-day operations of KENTA and acted intentionally and maliciously and is an employer pursuant to FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §203d, and regulations promulgated thereunder, 29 C.F.R. §791.2, NYLL §2 and the regulations thereunder, and is jointly and severally liable with Marvel Food Services, LLC. d/b/a KENTA.

12. Upon information and belief, Defendant David Peskin owns the stock of Marvel Food Services, LLC. d/b/a KENTA and manages and makes all business decisions including but not limited to the amount in salary the employee will receive and the number of hours employees will work. (See Exhibit 2).

13. Upon information and belief, Defendant Rafael J. Levy, is the owner, officer, director and/or managing agent of Marvel Food Services, LLC. d/b/a KENTA at 1197 Walt Whitman Rd Melville, NY 11747 and participated in the day-to-day operations of KENTA and acted intentionally and maliciously and is an employer pursuant to FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §203d, and regulations promulgated thereunder, 29 C.F.R. §791.2, NYLL §2 and the regulations thereunder, and is jointly and severally liable with Marvel Food Services, LLC. d/b/a KENTA.

14. Upon information and belief, Defendant Rafael J. Levy owns the stock of Marvel Food Services, LLC. d/b/a KENTA and manages and makes all business decisions including but not limited to the amount in salary the employee will receive and the number of hours employees will work. Id.

15. Upon information and belief, Defendant Robert Beer, is the owner, officer, director and/or managing agent of Marvel Food Services, LLC. d/b/a KENTA at 1197 Walt Whitman Rd Melville, NY 11747 and participated in the day-to-day operations of KENTA and acted intentionally and maliciously and is an employer pursuant to FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §203d, and regulations promulgated thereunder, 29 C.F.R. §791.2, NYLL §2 and the regulations thereunder, and is jointly and severally liable with Marvel Food Services, LLC. d/b/a KENTA.

16. Upon information and belief, Defendant Robert Beer owns the stock of Marvel Food Services, LLC. d/b/a KENTA and manages and makes all business decisions including but not limited to the amount in salary the employee will receive and the number of hours employees will work. Id.

17. Upon information and belief, Defendant Jimmy DOE, is the owner, officer, director and/or managing agent of Marvel Food Services, LLC. d/b/a KENTA at 1197 Walt Whitman Rd Melville, NY 11747 and participated in the day-to-day operations of KENTA and acted intentionally and maliciously and is an employer pursuant to FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §203d, and regulations promulgated thereunder, 29 C.F.R. §791.2, NYLL §2 and the regulations thereunder, and is jointly and severally liable with Marvel Food Services, LLC. d/b/a KENTA.

18. Upon information and belief, Defendant Jimmy DOE owns the stock of Marvel Food Services, LLC. d/b/a KENTA and manages and makes all business decisions including but not limited to the amount in salary the employee will receive and the number of hours employees will work. Id.

19. Upon information and belief, Defendant Jason Li, is the owner, officer, director and/or managing agent of Marvel Food Services, LLC. d/b/a KENTA at 1197 Walt Whitman Rd Melville, NY 11747 and participated in the day-to-day operations of KENTA and acted intentionally and maliciously and is an employer pursuant to FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §203d, and regulations promulgated thereunder, 29 C.F.R. §791.2, NYLL §2 and the regulations thereunder, and is jointly and severally liable with Marvel Food Services, LLC. d/b/a KENTA.

20. Upon information and belief, Defendant Jason Li owns the stock of Marvel Food Services, LLC. d/b/a KENTA and manages and makes all business decisions including but not limited to the amount in salary the employee will receive and the number of hours employees will work. Id.

21. Upon information and belief, Defendants John Doe and Jane Doe # 1-10 are the owners, officers, directors and/or managing agents of Marvel Food Services, LLC. d/b/a KENTA at 1197 Walt Whitman Rd Melville, NY 11747 and participated in the day-to-day operations of KENTA acted intentionally and maliciously and is an employer pursuant to FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §203d, and regulations promulgated thereunder, 29 C.F.R. §791.2, NYLL §2 and the regulations thereunder, and is jointly and severally liable with Marvel Food Services, LLC. d/b/a KENTA.

22. Upon information and belief, Defendant John Doe and Jane Doe # 1-10 owns the stock of Marvel Food Services, LLC. d/b/a KENTA manages and makes all business decisions including but not limited to the amount in salary the employee will receive and the number of hours employees will work. Id.

23. At all times relevant herein, Marvel Food Services, LLC. d/b/a KENTA was, and continues to be, an “enterprise engaged in commerce” within the meaning of FLSA.

24. At all relevant times, the work performed by Plaintiff was directly essential to the business operated by Marvel Food Services, LLC. d/b/a KENTA.

25. At all relevant times, Defendants knowingly and willfully failed to pay Plaintiffs their lawfully earned overtime compensation and spread-of-hour premiums, and failed to provide them wage notice at the time of hiring in violation of the NYLL.

26. Plaintiffs have fulfilled all conditions precedent to the institution of this action and/or conditions have been waived.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

27. Defendants committed the following alleged acts knowingly, intentionally and willfully.

28. Defendants knew that the nonpayment of overtime pay, spread of hours pay, failure to provide the required wage notice at the time of hiring would injure Plaintiffs and similarly situated employees and violate state and federal laws.

Plaintiff Yan Jun Sun

29. From April 23, 2015 to present Plaintiff Yan Jun Sun was hired by Defendants to work as a cook for Defendants' restaurant located at 1197 Walt Whitman Rd Melville, NY 11747.

30. During this period, Plaintiff Yan Jun Sun worked six days per week with only Wednesday off. His work schedule on Monday and Tuesday ran from 11:00 to 22:00 with a one and half hour break from 15:00 to 16:30 for nine and a half (9.30) hours each day. On Thursday and Friday, he works from 11:00 to 23:00 with a one and half hour break from 15:00 to 16:30 for ten and a half (10.30) hours each day. On Saturday he works from 15:00 to 23:00 with no break for eight (8) hours and on Sunday, he works from 14:00 to 21:00 with no break for seven (7) hours. During this period, Plaintiff Yan Jun Sun worked at least fifty-five(55) hours per week.

31. Regardless of Plaintiff's hours worked, he was paid a flat rate of \$648 per week.

Plaintiff Yu Peng Lu

32. From August 04, 2014 to present Plaintiff Yu Peng Lu was hired by Defendants to work as a cook for Defendants' restaurant located at 1197 Walt Whitman Rd Melville, NY 11747.

33. Plaintiff Yu Peng Lu took a break from April 21, 2015 to June 20, 2015 and did not work during this period.

34. From August 04, 2014 to April 20, 2015 and then from June 21, 2015 to present, Plaintiff Yu Peng Lu works six days per week with only Tuesday off. His work schedule on

Monday and Wednesday ran from 11:00 to 22:00 with a one and half hour break from 15:00 to 16:30 for nine and a half (9.30) hours each day. On Thursday and Friday, he works from 11:00 to 23:00 with a one and half hour break from 15:00 to 16:30 for ten and a half (10.30) hours each day. On Saturday he works from 15:00 to 23:00 with no break for eight (8) hours and on Sunday, he works from 14:00 to 21:00 with no break for seven (7) hours. During these periods, Plaintiff Yu Peng Lu worked at least fifty-five (55) hours per week.

35. Regardless of Plaintiff's hours worked, he was paid a flat rate of \$648 per week.

36. Both Plaintiffs were not required to utilize any means of recording or verifying their hours worked (e.g. punch clock, sign-in sheet, fingerprint or ID scanner).

37. Defendants did not compensate Plaintiffs for overtime compensation according to state and federal laws.

38. Plaintiffs were not compensated for New York's "spread of hours" premium for shifts that exceed ten (10) hours, two (2) days each week.

39. Defendants did not provide Plaintiffs with a wage notices at the time of their hiring

40. Defendants committed the following alleged acts knowingly, intentionally and willfully.

41. Defendants knew that the nonpayment of overtime and the "spread of hours" premium would economically injure Plaintiffs and the Class Members by their violation of federal and state laws.

42. While employed by Defendants, Plaintiffs were not exempt under federal and state laws requiring employers to pay employees overtime.

43. Plaintiffs and the New York Class Members' workdays frequently lasted longer than 10 hours.

44. Defendants did not pay Plaintiffs and other Class members' New York's "spread of hours" premium for every day in which they worked over 10 hours.

45. Defendants failed to keep full and accurate records of Plaintiffs' hours and wages.

46. Defendants did not provide Plaintiffs and other Class members with written notices about the terms and conditions of their employment upon hire in relation to their rate of pay, regular pay cycle and rate of overtime pay. These notices were similarly not provided upon Plaintiff and other Class members' pay increase(s).

47. Defendants committed the foregoing acts against the Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective Plaintiff.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

48. Defendants knowingly and willfully operated their business with a policy of not paying Plaintiffs and other similarly situated employees either the FLSA overtime rate (of time and one-half), or the New York State overtime rate (of time and one-half), in violation of the FLSA and New York Labor Law and the supporting federal and New York State Department of Labor Regulations.

49. Defendants knowingly and willfully operated their business with a policy of not paying the New York State "spread of hours" premium to Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees.

50. Plaintiffs bring this action individually and on behalf of all other and former non-exempt employees who have been or were employed by the Defendants for up to the last three (3) years, through entry of judgment in this case (the "Collective Action Period") and whom

failed to receive spread-of-hours pay and overtime compensation for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week (the “Collective Action Members”), and have been subject to the same common decision, policy, and plan to not provide required wage notices at the time of hiring, in contravention to federal and state labor laws.

51. Upon information and belief, the Collective Action Members are so numerous the joinder of all members is impracticable. The identity and precise number of such persons are unknown, and the facts upon which the calculations of that number may be ascertained are presently within the sole control of the Defendants. Upon information and belief, there are more than ten (10) Collective Action members, who have worked for or have continued to work for the Defendants during the Collective Action Period, most of whom would not likely file individual suits because they fear retaliation, lack adequate financial resources, access to attorneys, or knowledge of their claims. Therefore, Plaintiffs submit that this case should be certified as a collection action under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

52. Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Collective Action Members, and have retained counsel that is experienced and competent in the field of employment law and class action litigation. Plaintiffs have no interests that are contrary to or in conflict with those members of this collective action.

53. This action should be certified as collective action because the prosecution of separate action by individual members of the collective action would risk creating either inconsistent or varying adjudication with respect to individual members of this class that would as a practical matter be dispositive of the interest of the other members not party to the adjudication, or subsequently impair or impede their ability to protect their interests.

54. A collective action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy, since joinder of all members is impracticable. Furthermore, inasmuch as the damages suffered by individual Collective Action Members may be relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation makes it virtually impossible for the members of the collective action to individually seek redress for the wrongs done to them. There will be no difficulty in the management of this action as collective action.

55. Questions of law and fact common to members of the collective action predominate over questions that may affect only individual members because Defendants have acted on grounds generally applicable to all members. Among the questions of fact common to Plaintiff and other Collective Action Members are:

- a. Whether the Defendants employed Collective Action members within the meaning of the FLSA;
- b. Whether the Defendants failed to pay the Collective Action Members overtime wages for all hours worked above forty (40) each workweek in violation of the FLSA and the regulation promulgated thereunder;
- d. Whether the Defendants failed to pay the Collective Action Members spread of hours payment for each day an employee worked over 10 hours;
- e. Whether the Defendants failed to provide the Collective Action Members with a wage notice at the time of hiring as required by the NYLL;
- f. Whether the Defendants' violations of the FLSA are willful as that terms is used within the context of the FLSA; and,

g. Whether the Defendants are liable for all damages claimed hereunder, including but not limited to compensatory, punitive, and statutory damages, interest, costs and disbursements and attorneys' fees.

56. Plaintiffs know of no difficulty that will be encountered in the management of this litigation that would preclude its maintenance as a collective action.

57. Plaintiffs and others similarly situated have been substantially damaged by Defendants' unlawful conduct.

STATEMENT OF CLAIM

COUNT I

[Violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act—Overtime Wage Brought on behalf of the Plaintiffs and the FLSA Collective]

58. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

59. The FLSA provides that no employer engaged in commerce shall employ a covered employee for a work week longer than forty (40) hours unless such employee receives compensation for employment in excess of forty (40) hours at a rate not less than one and one-half times the regular rate at which he or she is employed, or one and one-half times the minimum wage, whichever is greater. 29 USC §207(a).

60. The FLSA provides that any employer who violates the provisions of 29 U.S.C. §207 shall be liable to the employees affected in the amount of their unpaid overtime compensation, and in an additional equal amount as liquidated damages. 29 USC §216(b).

61. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiffs and the FLSA Collective their overtime pay violated the FLSA.

62. At all relevant times, Defendants had, and continue to have, a policy of practice of refusing to pay overtime compensation at the statutory rate of time and a half to Plaintiffs and Collective Action Members for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek, which violated and continues to violate the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§201, et seq., including 29 U.S.C. §§207(a)(1) and 215(a).

63. The FLSA and supporting regulations required employers to notify employees of employment law requires employers to notify employment law requirements. 29 C.F.R. §516.4.

64. Defendants willfully failed to notify Plaintiffs and FLSA Collective of the requirements of the employment laws in order to facilitate their exploitation of Plaintiffs' and FLSA Collectives' labor.

65. Defendants knowingly and willfully disregarded the provisions of the FLSA as evidenced by their failure to compensate Plaintiffs and Collective Class Members the statutory overtime rate of time and one half for all hours worked in excess of forty (40)per week when they knew or should have known such was due and that failing to do so would financially injure Plaintiff and Collective Action members.

COUNT II
[Violation of New York Labor Law—Overtime Pay]

66. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

67. Pursuant to the New York Wage Theft Prevention Act, an employer who fails to pay proper overtime compensation shall be liable, in addition to the amount of any underpayments, for liquidated damages equal to the total of such under-payments found to be due the employee.

68. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiffs and the Rule 23 Class their overtime pay violated the NYLL.

69. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiffs and the Rule 23 Class was not in good faith.

COUNT III
[Violation of New York Labor Law—Spread of Time Pay]

70. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

71. The NYLL requires employers to pay an extra hour's pay for every day that an employee works an interval in excess of ten hours pursuant to NYLL §§190, et seq., and §§650, et seq., and New York State Department of Labor regulations §146-1.6.

72. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiffs and Rule 23 Class spread-of-hours pay was not in good faith.

COUNT IV
[Violation of New York Labor Law—Time of Hire Wage Notice Requirement]

73. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

74. The defendants failed to furnish to the Plaintiffs at the time of hiring a notice containing the rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage, including tip, meal, or lodging allowances; the regular pay day designated by the employer in accordance with section one hundred ninety-one of this article; the name of the employer; any "doing business as" names used by the employer; the physical address of the employer's main office or principal place of business, and a mailing address if different; the telephone number of the employer, and anything otherwise required by law; in violation of the NYLL, § 195(1).

75. Due to the defendants' violation of the NYLL, § 195(1), the Plaintiff is entitled to recover from the defendants liquidated damages of \$50.00 per workweek that the violation occurred, up to a maximum of \$2,500.00, reasonable attorney's fees, and costs and disbursements of the action, pursuant to the NYLL, § 198(1-b).

76. The defendants failed to furnish with each wage payment a statement listing: the dates of work covered by that payment of wages; name of employee; name of employer; address and phone number of employer; rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; the regular hourly rate or rates of pay; the overtime rate or rates of pay; the number of regular hours worked, and the number of overtime hours worked; gross wages; deductions; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage; and net wages; in violation of the NYLL, § 195(3).

77. Due to the defendants' violation of the NYLL, § 195(3), the Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from the defendants liquidated damages of \$50.00 per workweek that the violation occurred, up to a maximum of \$2,500.00, reasonable attorney's fees, and costs and disbursements of the action, pursuant to the NYLL, § 198(1-d). The defendants' NYLL violations have caused the Plaintiffs irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law.

COUNT V
[Violation of New York Labor Law—New York Pay Stub Requirement]

78. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

79. The NYLL and supporting regulations require employers to provide detailed paystub information to employees every payday. NYLL §195-1(d).

80. Defendants have failed to make a good faith effort to comply with the New York Labor Law with respect to compensation of each Plaintiff, and did not provide the paystub on or after each Plaintiff's payday.

91. Due to Defendants' violations of New York Labor Law, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, \$250 for each workday of the violation, up to \$5,000 for Plaintiff together with costs and attorneys' fees pursuant to New York Labor Law N.Y. Lab. Law §198(1-d).

COUNT VI
[Civil Damages for Deceptive Acts and Practices — Violations of New York General Business Law §349 Brought on Behalf of the Plaintiff]

81. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

82. NY General Business Law §349 provides that if any person willfully files a fraudulent information return with respect to payments purported to be made to any other person, such other person may bring a civil action for damages against the person so filing such a return.

83. Due to Defendants' violations of NY GBS Law §349, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, his actual damages or fifty dollars (\$50), whichever is greater, or both such actions.

84. Plaintiffs demand the right to examine, in person or by attorney, the minutes of the proceedings of shareholders and records of shareholders of Defendant Corporation to recover wages owed as employees of the corporation (See Exhibit 3).

Prayer For Relief

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and the FLSA collective plaintiffs, respectfully request that this court enter a judgment providing the following relief:

- a) Authorizing plaintiffs at the earliest possible time to give notice of this collective action, or that the court issue such notice, to all persons who are presently, or have been employed by defendants as non-exempt tipped or non-tipped employees. Such notice shall inform them that the civil notice has been filed, of the nature of the action, of their right to join this lawsuit if they believe they were denied proper hourly compensation and premium overtime wages;
- b) Certification of this case as a collective action pursuant to FLSA;
- c) Issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all similarly situated members of the FLSA opt-in class, apprising them of the pendency of this action, and permitting them to assert timely FLSA claims and state claims in this action by filing individual Consent to Sue forms pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and appointing Plaintiffs and his counsel to represent the Collective Action Members;
- d) A declaratory judgment that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under FLSA and New York Labor Law;
- e) An injunction against KENTA, its officers, agents, successors, employees, representatives and any and all persons acting in concert with them as provided by law, from engaging in each of unlawful practices and policies set forth herein;
- f) An award of unpaid overtime wages due under FLSA and New York Labor Law plus compensatory and liquidated damages in the amount of twenty five percent under NYLL §§190 et seq., §§650 et seq., and one hundred percent after June 26, 2009 under NY Wage Theft Prevention Act, and interest;
- g) An award of unpaid “spread of hours” premium due under the New York Labor Law;

- h) An award of damages for Defendants' failure to provide wage notice at the time of hiring as required under the New York Labor Law.
- i) An award of liquidated and/or punitive damages as a result of Defendants' knowing and willful failure to pay wages, minimum wages and overtime compensation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216;
- j) An award of liquidated and/or punitive damages as a result of Defendants' willful failure to pay overtime compensation, and "spread of hours" premium pursuant to New York Labor Law;
- k) An award of costs and expenses of this action together with reasonable attorneys' and expert fees pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b) and NYLL §§198 and 663;
- l) The cost and disbursements of this action;
- m) An award of prejudgment and post-judgment fees;
- n) Providing that if any amounts remain unpaid upon the expiration of ninety days following the issuance of judgment, or ninety days after expiration of the time to appeal and no appeal is then pending, whichever is later, the total amount of judgment shall automatically increase by fifteen percent, as required by NYLL §198(4); and
- o) Such other and further legal and equitable relief as this Court deems necessary, just, and proper.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and the Collective Action Members and members of the Class, demand a trial by jury on all questions of fact raised by the complaint.

Dated: Flushing, New York November 25, 2015 HANG & ASSOCIATES, PLLC.

/S/ JIAN HANG

Jian Hang, Esq.
136-18 39th Ave., Suite 1003
Flushing, New York 11354
Tel: 718.353.8588
jhang@hanglaw.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff

EXHIBIT 1

**CONSENT TO SUE UNDER
FEDERAL FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT**

I am an employee currently or formerly employed by Marvel Food Services, LLC, David Peskin, Rafael J. Levy, Robert Beer, "Jimmy" Doe and/or related entities. I consent to be a plaintiff in an action to collect unpaid wages. I agree that I am bound by the terms of the Contingent Fee Retainer signed by the named plaintiff in this case.

Yan Jun Song
Full Legal Name (Print)

Yan Jun Song
Signature

11/24/2015
Date

**CONSENT TO SUE UNDER
FEDERAL FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT**

I am an employee currently or formerly employed by Marvel Food Services, LLC, David Peskin, Rafael J. Levy, Robert Beer, "Jimmy" Doe and/or related entities. I consent to be a plaintiff in an action to collect unpaid wages. I agree that I am bound by the terms of the Contingent Fee Retainer signed by the named plaintiff in this case.

Lu Yu Peng
Full Legal Name (Print)

Lu Yu Peng
Signature

11/24/15
Date

EXHIBIT 2

**NOTICE OF INTENTION TO ENFORCE SHAREHOLDER LIABILITY
FOR SERVICES RENDERED**

TO: David Peskin,

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that pursuant to the provisions of Section 630 of the Business Corporation Law of New York, you are hereby notified that Yan Jun Song, Yu Peng Lu, and others similarly situated intend to charge you and hold you personally liable, jointly and severally, as one of the ten largest shareholders of Marvel Food Services, LLC. for all debts, wages, and/or salaries due and owing to them as laborers, servants and/or employees of the said corporations for services performed by them for the said corporations within the six (6) years preceding the date of this notice and have expressly authorized the undersigned, as their attorney, to make this demand on their behalf.

Dated: November 25, 2015

**NOTICE OF INTENTION TO ENFORCE SHAREHOLDER LIABILITY
FOR SERVICES RENDERED**

TO: Rafael J. Levy

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that pursuant to the provisions of Section 630 of the Business Corporation Law of New York, you are hereby notified that Yan Jun Song, Yu Peng Lu, and others similarly situated intend to charge you and hold you personally liable, jointly and severally, as one of the ten largest shareholders of Marvel Food Services, LLC. for all debts, wages, and/or salaries due and owing to them as laborers, servants and/or employees of the said corporations for services performed by them for the said corporations within the six (6) years preceding the date of this notice and have expressly authorized the undersigned, as their attorney, to make this demand on their behalf.

Dated: November 25, 2015

**NOTICE OF INTENTION TO ENFORCE SHAREHOLDER LIABILITY
FOR SERVICES RENDERED**

TO: Robert Beer

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that pursuant to the provisions of Section 630 of the Business Corporation Law of New York, you are hereby notified that that Yan Jun Song, Yu Peng Lu, and others similarly situated intend to charge you and hold you personally liable, jointly and severally, as one of the ten largest shareholders of Marvel Food Services, LLC. for all debts, wages, and/or salaries due and owing to them as laborers, servants and/or employees of the said corporations for services performed by them for the said corporations within the six (6) years preceding the date of this notice and have expressly authorized the undersigned, as their attorney, to make this demand on their behalf.

Dated: November 25, 2015

**NOTICE OF INTENTION TO ENFORCE SHAREHOLDER LIABILITY
FOR SERVICES RENDERED**

TO: Jimmy Doe

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that pursuant to the provisions of Section 630 of the Business Corporation Law of New York, you are hereby notified that Yan Jun Song, Yu Peng Lu, and others similarly situated intend to charge you and hold you personally liable, jointly and severally, as one of the ten largest shareholders of Marvel Food Services, LLC. for all debts, wages, and/or salaries due and owing to them as laborers, servants and/or employees of the said corporations for services performed by them for the said corporations within the six (6) years preceding the date of this notice and have expressly authorized the undersigned, as their attorney, to make this demand on their behalf.

Dated: November 25, 2015

**NOTICE OF INTENTION TO ENFORCE SHAREHOLDER LIABILITY
FOR SERVICES RENDERED**

TO: Jason Li

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that pursuant to the provisions of Section 630 of the Business Corporation Law of New York, you are hereby notified that Yan Jun Song, Yu Peng Lu, and others similarly situated intend to charge you and hold you personally liable, jointly and severally, as one of the ten largest shareholders of Marvel Food Services, LLC. for all debts, wages, and/or salaries due and owing to them as laborers, servants and/or employees of the said corporations for services performed by them for the said corporations within the six (6) years preceding the date of this notice and have expressly authorized the undersigned, as their attorney, to make this demand on their behalf.

Dated: November 25, 2015

EXHIBIT 3

**DEMAND BY EMPLOYEES TO INSPECT SHARE RECORDS AND
MINUTES PURSUANT TO SECTION 624 OF THE NEW YORK STATE
BUSINESS CORPORATION LAW**

TO: Marvel Food Services, LLC. d/b/a KENTA

1197 Walt Whitman Rd Melville, NY 11747

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that Yan Jun Song, Yu Peng Lu and others similarly situated as employees of the above corporations who intend to demand, pursuant to the provisions of Section 630 of the Business Corporation Law of New York, payment of debts, wages and/or salaries due and owing to them as laborers, servants and/or employees of the above corporations for services performed by them for the above corporations within the six (6) years preceding the date of this notice from the ten largest shareholders of the above corporations, and who have expressly authorized the undersigned, as their attorney, to make this demand on their behalf.

HEREBY DEMAND the right to examine, in person or by agent or attorney, during usual business hours, the minutes of the proceedings of the shareholders and records of shareholders of the above corporations and to make extracts there from on or after five (5) days from receipt of this notice.

Dated: November 25, 2015